arXiv:1007.5513v2 [math.CV] 31 Mar 2011

IRREGULARITY OF THE BERGMAN PROJECTION ON WORM DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$

DAVID BARRETT AND SÖNMEZ ŞAHUTOĞLU

ABSTRACT. We construct higher-dimensional versions of the Diederich-Fornæss worm domains and show that the Bergman projection operators for these domains are not bounded on high-order L^p-Sobolev spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $A^2(\Omega)$ denote the Bergman space of square-integrable holomorphic functions on Ω. The Bergman projection on Ω is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ onto $A^2(\Omega)$.

The Bergman projection is known to be regular, in the sense that it maps W^s to W^s for all $s \geq 0$ where W^s denotes the Sobolev space of order s, on a large class of smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains (throughout this paper a domain is smooth if its boundary is a smooth manifold). Regularity is, usually, established through the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem, the solution operator for the complex Laplacian $\Box = \overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^*\overline{\partial}$ on square integrable $(0,1)$-forms. For more information on this matter we refer the reader to [BS99, Str10] and the references therein.

Irregularity of the Bergman projection is not understood nearly as well as regularity. The story of irregularity goes back to the discovery of the worm domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ by Diederich and Fornæss [DF77]. Worm domains were constructed to show that not every smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain has a Stein neighborhood basis (a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to have a Stein neighborhood basis if for every open set $K \subset U$ there exists a pseudoconvex domain V such that $K \subset V \subset U$). Indeed, Diederich and Fornæss in [DF77] showed that a worm domain does not have a Stein neighborhood basis if the total winding is bigger than or equal to π. It turned out that worm domains are also counter-examples for regularity of the Bergman projection. In 1991, Kiselman [Kis91] showed that the Bergman projection does not satisfy Bell's condition R on nonsmooth worm domains (a domain Ω satisfies Bell's condition R if the Bergman projection $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ to $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$). In 1992, the first author [Bar92] showed that the Bergman projection on a smooth worm domain does not map W^s into W^s if $s \geq \pi/(\text{total winding})$. On the other hand, Boas and Straube [BS92] showed that the Bergman projection maps W^k into W^k if

Date: October 15, 2019.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32W05, 32T20; Secondary 32A25.
Key words and phrases. pseudoconvex, worm domains, irregularity, Bergman projection.
The first author is supported in part by NSF grant number DMS-0901205. The second author is supported in part by NSF grant number DMS-0602191.

$k \leq \pi/(2 \times \text{total winding})$ and k is a positive integer or $k = 1/2$. Finally, in 1996 Christ [Chr96] showed that the Bergman projections on smooth worm domains, with any positive winding, do not satisfy Bell's condition R. Recently, Krantz and Peloso [KP08b, KP08a] studied the asymptotics for the Bergman kernel on the model domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and derived L^p (ir)regularity for the Bergman projection on worm domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

In this note we will construct smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains $\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that are higher dimensional generalizations of the worm domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and study the irregularity of the Bergman projection on these domains on L^p Sobolev spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$. We will use the method developed by the first author in [Bar92] to show that irregularity on L^2 Sobolev spaces depends only on the total winding whereas the irregularity on L^p spaces with $p \neq 2$ depend on the total winding as well as the dimension n.

The domains $\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \subset \mathbb{C}^n, n \geq 3$, are defined by

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{(z_1, z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : r(z_1, z', z_n) < 0\right\}$$

with

$$r(z_1, z', z_n) = \left|z_1 - e^{2i\alpha \ln |z_n|}\right|^2 + |z'|^2 - 1 + \sigma(|z_n|^2 - \beta^2) + \sigma(1 - |z_n|^2);$$

here $z' = (z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}), |z'|^2 = |z_2|^2 + \cdots + |z_{n-1}|^2$, the constants $\alpha > 0, \beta > 1$, and $\sigma(t) = Me^{-1/t}$ for $t > 0$, $\sigma(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ for some $M > 0$.

In section 2 below we show that $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is smooth bounded pseudoconvex when M is sufficiently large. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The Bergman projection for $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ does not map $W^{p,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ into $W^{p,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s \geq \frac{\pi}{2\alpha \ln \beta} + n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$.*

Here $W^{p,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ is the Sobolev space of order s with exponent p and when $W^{p,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right) \not\subset L^2\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ we mean that the $W^{p,s}$ bounds do not hold for the Bergman projection on $W^{p,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right) \cap L^2\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$. The denominator $2\alpha \ln \beta$ appearing above may be interpreted as the total amount of winding along the annulus $1 < |z_n| < \beta$ (see (1) below).

If we choose $p = 2$ then the amount of irregularity provided by a fixed amount of winding is independent of the dimension.

Corollary 1. *The Bergman projection for $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ does not map $W^{2,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ to $W^{2,s}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ when $s \geq \frac{\pi}{2\alpha \ln \beta}$.*

Remark 1. Assume that the Bergman projection P_U of a domain U bounded on $L^p(U)$ where $p > 2$. Then the duality and self-adjointness of the Bergman projection imply that P_U is also bounded on $L^q(U)$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Furthermore, interpolation implies that P_U is bounded on L^r for all $r \in [q, p]$.

Therefore, when $s = 0$ and $n\alpha \ln \beta > \pi$, the previous remark and Theorem 1 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *The Bergman projection for $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ does not map $L^p\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ to $L^p\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ when $0 < \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2n\alpha \ln \beta}$ or $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2n\alpha \ln \beta} \leq \frac{1}{p} < 1$.*

Theorem 1 is proved in section 4 below. The proof is based on model domain asymptotics developed in section 3.

2. Geometry of the Worm Domains

Proposition 1. *The domain $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is smooth bounded and pseudoconvex whenever M is sufficiently large.*

Proof. Start by requiring $M > e^2$. Then $\Omega \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_1| < 3, |z'| < 2, 1/2 < |z_n| < \sqrt{\beta^2 + 1/2}\}$. Then Ω is bounded. Also, by considering z_1-, z'-, and z_n-derivatives in order it is easy to check that the gradient of $r(z)$ does not vanish on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : r(z) = 0\}$, so Ω has smooth boundary.

It remains to show that $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is pseudoconvex. It suffices to check this locally. We focus on the case $|z_n| \geq (1+\beta)/2$, the case $|z_n| \leq (1+\beta)/2$ being similar.

Multiplying $r(z)$ by $e^{\mathrm{Arg}(z_n^{2\alpha})}$ we obtain the new defining function

$$r_1(z) = r_2(z) - 2\ \mathrm{Re}\left(z_1 z_n^{-2\alpha i}\right)$$

where

$$r_2(z) = \left(|z_1|^2 + |z'|^2 + \lambda(z_n)\right) e^{\mathrm{Arg}(z_n^{2\alpha})} \text{ and } \lambda(z_n) = \sigma\left(|z_n|^2 - \beta^2\right).$$

Since $2\ \mathrm{Re}\left(z_1 z_n^{-2\alpha i}\right)$ is pluriharmonic it will suffice now to show that r_2 is plurisubharmonic. To simplify the notation let $A(z) = |z_1|^2 + |z'|^2 + \lambda(z_n)$ and $B(z) = \mathrm{Arg}(z_n^{2\alpha})$. Let $W = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \partial/\partial z_j$ with w_j constant. In the following calculations $H_f(W)$ denote the complex Hessian of f in the direction W. Then $W(r_2) = e^B(W(A) + AW(B))$ and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$-2\,\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{w}_n B_{\overline{z}_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \overline{z}_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |w_j|^2 + |\overline{w}_n B_{\overline{z}_n}|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |z_j|^2.$$

Using the above inequality in the second line below we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{r_2}(W) &= e^B(H_A(W) + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(W(A)\overline{W}(B)) + A|W(B)|^2 + AH_B(W)) \\ &\geq |w_n|^2 e^B(\lambda_{z_n\overline{z}_n} + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{z_n} B_{\overline{z}_n}) + \lambda |B_{\overline{z}_n}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

One can check that $\lambda_{z_n}(z_n) = \overline{z}_n \sigma'(|z_n|^2 - \beta^2)$, $|B_{\overline{z}_n}| = \frac{\alpha}{|z_n|}$, and

$$\lambda_{z_n\overline{z}_n}(z_n) = |z_n|^2 \sigma''(|z_n|^2 - \beta^2) + \sigma'(|z_n|^2 - \beta^2).$$

We note that since $\lambda(z_n) = \lambda_{z_n}(z_n) = \lambda_{z_n\overline{z}_n}(z_n) = 0$ for $|z_n| \leq \beta$, without loss of generality we can assume that $|z_n| > \beta$. Using the fact that $\beta < |z_n| < \sqrt{\beta^2 + 1/2}$ and $t = |z_n|^2 - \beta^2$ on the

third line below we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{z_n\overline{z}_n} + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{z_n} B_{\overline{z}_n}) + \lambda |B_{\overline{z}_n}|^2 \geq & \lambda_{z_n\overline{z}_n} - \frac{2\alpha|\lambda_{z_n}|}{|z_n|} \\ \geq & |z_n|^2\sigma''(|z_n|^2-\beta^2) + (1-2\alpha)\sigma'(|z_n|^2-\beta^2) \\ = & Me^{-1/t}\left(\frac{\beta^2+t}{t^4} - \frac{2(\beta^2+t)}{t^3} + \frac{1-2\alpha}{t^2}\right) \\ = & \frac{M(\beta^2+t)e^{-1/t}}{t^4}\Big(1-2t+\frac{(1-2\alpha)t^2}{\beta^2+t}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

We can choose M sufficiently large so that $z \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_n| \geq \beta\}$ implies that t is sufficiently small. In return, this implies that

$$1 - 2t + \frac{(1-2\alpha)t^2}{\beta^2+t} > 0.$$

The last inequality above implies that $\lambda_{z_n\overline{z}_n} + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{z_n} B_{\overline{z}_n}) + \lambda|B_{\overline{z}_n}|^2 \geq 0$ for $z \in \Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ such that $|z_n| \geq (1+\beta)/2$. Hence, the domain $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is pseudoconvex for sufficiently large M. □

Remark 2. A similar calculation shows that the set of weakly pseudoconvex points in the boundary is the set $\{(0,\ldots,0,z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 \leq |z_n| \leq \beta\}$.

Remark 3. We note that regularity of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem is closely connected to regularity of the Bergman projection [BS90]. In particular, if the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem of a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain is globally regular then the Bergman projection satisfies Bell's condition R. One can show that on the set $\{(0,\ldots,0,z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 \leq |z_n| \leq \beta\}$ the Levi form of r has only one vanishing eigenvalue as the Levi form has positive eigenvalues in the direction transversal to z_n axes. In this case Theorem 1 in [ŞS06] applies and it implies that the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator is not compact on $(0,1)$-forms (compactness of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem implies that it is globally regular [KN65]). However, to show irregularity of the Bergman projection in Sobolev scale one needs to work harder.

3. Model Domains

In this section we are going to define a family of simplified model domains and calculate the asymptotics for the Bergman kernels of these model domains. We use a modified version of the method developed by the first author in [Bar92].

For $\lambda > 0$ let

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_\lambda(z_1, z', z_n) &= (2\lambda^2 z_1, \lambda z', z_n), \\ r_\lambda &= \lambda^2 r \circ \tau_\lambda^{-1}, \\ D_\lambda &= \tau_\lambda(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

Then for $1 \leq |z_n| \leq \beta$ we have $r_\lambda \searrow r_\infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ where

$$r_\infty(z_1, z', z_n) = |z'|^2 - \operatorname{Re}\left(z_1 e^{-2\alpha i \ln |z_n|}\right);$$

for $|z_n|$ outside this range we have $r_\lambda \to \infty$. It follows that the D_λ converge in an appropriate sense to the limit domain

$$D = D_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{(z_1, z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re}\left(z_1 e^{-2\alpha i \ln |z_n|}\right) > |z'|^2, 1 < |z_n| < \beta\right\}, \tag{1}$$

the limit being increasing over the annulus $1 \leq |z_n| \leq \beta$.

Bergman projection P of Ω is defined by $Pf(z) = \int_D K(z,w) f(w)\, dV(w)$ where $f \in L^2(D)$ and $K_D : \Omega \times D \to \mathbb{C}$, is the Bergman kernel characterized by the following conditions

i. $K(z,w) \in A^2(D)$ for fixed $w \in D$,
ii. $K(w,z) = \overline{K(z,w)}$,
iii. $\int_D K(z,w) f(w)\, dV(w) = f(z)$ for $f \in A^2(D)$.

If $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ is an orthonormal basis for $A^2(D)$ then we have $K(z,w) = \sum_j f_j(z)\overline{f_j(w)}$.

To study the Bergman kernel of D we begin by performing a Fourier decomposition. We define

$$(P_{Jk} f)(z_1, z', z_n) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}\pi^{n-1}} \int\limits_{[-\pi,\pi]^{n-1}} f(z_1, e^{iS} z', e^{it} z_n) e^{-iJ\cdot S} e^{-ikt}\, dS\, dt, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iS} &= (e^{is_1}, \ldots, e^{is_{n-2}}), \\ S &= (s_1, \ldots, s_{n-2}) \in [-\pi, \pi]^{n-2}, \\ J &= (j_1, \ldots, j_{n-2}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2}, \\ k &\in \mathbb{Z}, \\ JS &= j_1 s_1 + \cdots + j_{n-2} s_{n-2}, \\ dS &= ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the mapping $\rho_{St}(z_1, z', z_n) = (z_1, e^{iS} z', e^{it} z_n)$. Then P_{Jk} is the orthogonal projection from $A^2(D)$ onto

$$A^2_{Jk}(D) = \{f \in A^2(D) : f \circ \rho_{St} = e^{iJS} e^{ikt} f \text{ for all } S, t\}.$$

Therefore the Bergman space $A^2(D)$ can be written as an orthogonal sum

$$A^2(D) = \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}} A^2_{Jk}(D)$$

and the Bergman kernel $K(z,w)$ for D satisfies

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}} K_{Jk}(z,w)$$

where $K_{Jk}(z,w)$ is the kernel for $A^2_{Jk}(D)$.

One can show that for $f \in A^2_{Jk}(D)$ the function $f(z_1,z',z_n)z_2^{-j_1}\cdots z_{n-1}^{-j_{n-2}}z_n^{-k}$ is a function that is locally independent of (z',z_n). We notate such functions as functions of z_1, where it is understood that z_1 ranges over the Riemann domain described by $-\pi/2 < \operatorname{Arg} z_1 < 2\alpha \ln\beta + \pi/2$.

Let $|J| = j_1 + \cdots + j_{n-2}$. Then a square integrable holomorphic function f on D can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}^{n-2},\, k\in\mathbb{Z}} F_{Jk}(z)$$

where

$$F_{Jk}(z_1,z',z_n) = z_1^{-\frac{|J|+n}{2}} f_{Jk}(z_1) z'^J z_n^k$$

and the sum converges locally uniformly.

Now we will calculate the L^2-norm of F_{Jk} on D. Let $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_j = |z_j|$ for $j = 1,\dots n, r' = \sqrt{r_2^2+\cdots+r_{n-1}^2}, s = \ln|z_n|^2$. Then D is described by the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < r_1 &< \infty, \\ 0 < s &< 2\ln\beta, \\ |\theta_1 - \alpha s| &< \pi/2, \\ 0 \le r' &< \sqrt{r_1\cos(\theta_1-\alpha s)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{Jk}\|^2_D &= \int_D |f_{Jk}(r_1e^{i\theta_1})|^2 r_1^{-|J|-n+1} r_2^{2j_2+1}\cdots r_{n-1}^{2j_{n-2}+1} r_n^{2k+1} d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_n dr_1\cdots dr_n \\ &= C_{nJ} \int_{\substack{0<r_1<\infty \\ |\theta_1-\alpha s|<\pi/2 \\ 0<s<2\ln\beta}} |f_{Jk}(r_1e^{i\theta_1})|^2 \cos^{|J|+n-2}(\theta_1-\alpha s) e^{s(k+1)} r_1^{-1} d\theta_1 dr_1 ds \\ &= \int_{\substack{0<r_1<\infty \\ -\pi/2<\theta_1<2\alpha\ln\beta+\pi/2}} |f_{Jk}(z_1)|^2 W_{Jk}(\theta_1) |z_1|^{-2}\, dV(z_1) \qquad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where C_{nJ} is a positive constant,

$$W_{Jk}(\theta_1) = C_{nJ}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^{|J|+n-2}(\theta_1-\alpha t)\chi_{\pi/2}(\theta_1-\alpha t) e^{t(k+1)} \chi_{\ln\beta}(t-\ln\beta)\, dt,$$

and $\chi_a(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[-a,a]$ for $a > 0$. (The positivity of C_{nJ} follows from the fact that we are only integrating over positive values of r_j.)

Let us use a change of coordinates $z = \ln z_1$ in the last integral to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{Jk}\|_D^2 &= \int\limits_{\substack{-\infty<x<\infty \\ -\pi/2<y<2\alpha\ln\beta+\pi/2}} |f_{Jk}(e^z)|^2 W_{Jk}(y)\, dV(z) \\ &= \int\limits_{\substack{-\infty<x<\infty \\ -\pi/2<y<2\alpha\ln\beta+\pi/2}} |\widetilde{f}_{Jk}(z)|^2 W_{Jk}(y)\, dV(z) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $\widetilde{f}_{Jk}(z) = f_{Jk}(e^z)$. Then $\widetilde{f}_{Jk}$ is a square integrable holomorphic function on $S_{\alpha\beta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi/2 < \mathrm{Im}(z) < \pi/2 + 2\alpha\ln\beta\}$ with weight W_{Jk}. Furthermore, the Bergman kernel K_{Jk} for $A^2_{Jk}(D)$ can be calculated as

$$K_{Jk}(z,w) = K^{\alpha\beta}_{Jk}(\ln z_1, \ln w_1) \frac{z'^J z_n^k \overline{w}'^J \overline{w}_n^k}{z_1^{\frac{|J|+n}{2}} \overline{w}_1^{\frac{|J|+n}{2}}} \tag{5}$$

where $K^{\alpha\beta}_{Jk}$ is the Bergman kernel on $S_{\alpha\beta}$ with the weight W_{Jk}. (One way to see this is to note that (4) allows us to convert an orthonormal basis for the Bergman space on $S_{\alpha\beta}$ with weight W_{Jk} to an orthonormal basis for A^2_{Jk}.)

Let $\mathcal{F}(f)$ denote the Fourier transform of f; thus $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i\xi t} dt$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{i\xi t} d\xi$.

Proposition 2. *$K^{\alpha\beta}_{Jk}$ is given by the integral*

$$K^{\alpha\beta}_{Jk}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{i(z-\overline{w})\xi}}{\mathcal{F}(W_{Jk})(-2i\xi)} d\xi. \tag{6}$$

Proof. See [Bar92] and [CS01, Lemma 6.5.1]. □

Note also that $-\pi < \mathrm{Im}(z - \overline{w}) < \pi + 4\alpha\ln\beta$ for $z, w \in S_{\alpha\beta}$.

Proposition 3. *The Fourier transform of W_{Jk} is given by*

$$\mathcal{F}(W_{Jk})(\xi) = D_{nJ} e^{-\frac{i\xi\pi}{2}} \frac{E_{Jk}(\xi)}{(\xi + |J| + n - 2)(\xi + |J| + n - 4)\ldots(\xi - |J| - n + 2)} \tag{7}$$

where

$$E_{Jk}(\xi) = \left(e^{i\xi\pi} - (-1)^{|J|+n}\right) \left(\frac{e^{2(k+1-i\alpha\xi)\ln\beta} - 1}{k + 1 - i\alpha\xi}\right).$$

We postpone the proof of this Proposition.

To apply residue methods to (6) we need to find the zeros of $\mathcal{F}(W_{Jk})(-2i\xi)$. Let us denote the set $\{s \in \mathbb{Z} : -m \le s \le m\}$ by $\mathbb{I}(m)$. From Proposition 3 we see that if $|J| + n$ is even then the

zeros of $\mathcal{F}(W_{Jk})(-2i\xi)$ are located at

$$\left\{mi : m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{|J|+n-2}{2}\right)\right\} \bigcup \left\{\frac{m\pi i}{2\alpha \ln \beta} + \frac{k+1}{2\alpha} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\right\}$$

and in case $|J|+n$ is odd they are located at

$$\left\{mi + \frac{i}{2} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \left(\mathbb{I}\left(\frac{|J|+n-3}{2}\right) \cup \{-(|J|+n-1)/2\}\right)\right\} \bigcup \left\{\frac{m\pi i}{2\alpha \ln \beta} + \frac{k+1}{2\alpha} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\right\}.$$

For simplicity we focus now on the case $J = 0$, $k = -2$; note that this guarantees that the zeros enumerated above are simple (see Remark 4 below).

Let $\nu_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha \ln \beta}$ and $\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\alpha} > 0$.

Proposition 4. *The kernels $K_{0,-2}$ satisfy*

$$K_{0,-2}(z,w) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\nu_{\alpha\beta}-n/2]} C_\ell z_1^\ell \overline{w}_1^{-\ell-n} z_n^{-2} \overline{w}_n^{-2} + C z_1^{\nu_{\alpha\beta}-n/2-i\mu_\alpha} \overline{w}_1^{-\nu_{\alpha\beta}-n/2+i\mu_\alpha} z_n^{-2} \overline{w}_n^{-2} + \mathcal{R}(z,w) \tag{8}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, the constants C and C_ℓ are nonzero and the remainder term $\mathcal{R}(z,w)$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m \mathcal{R}(z,w) = O\left(z_1^{\nu_{\alpha\beta}-n/2+\varepsilon-m} \overline{w}_1^{-\nu_{\alpha\beta}-n/2-\epsilon}\right)$$

uniformly on closed subannuli of $1 < |z_n| < \beta$.

Proof. We apply the residue theorem to the integral in (6) along the strip $-\nu_{\alpha\beta} - \varepsilon \le \operatorname{Im} \xi \le 0$ to obtain

$$K^{\alpha\beta}_{0,-2}(z,w) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\nu_{\alpha\beta}-n/2]} C_\ell e^{(\ell+\frac{n}{2})(z-\overline{w})} + C e^{(\nu_{\alpha\beta}-i\mu_\alpha)(z-\overline{w})} + \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(z,w)$$

for non-zero C, C_ℓ, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(z,w)$ and all of its derivatives are $O\left(e^{(\nu_{\alpha\beta}+\varepsilon)(z-\overline{w})}\right)$ on closed substrips of $S_{\alpha\beta}$.

Plugging this into (5) we obtain (8). □

Remark 4. We have focused on the case $J = 0$, $k = -2$ because this is the simplest choice which avoids possible problems with double poles. Analogous formulae hold for other values of k in the absence of double poles. When double poles do occur they contribute factors of $\ln(z_1 - \overline{w}_1)$.

Lemma 1. $$\sum_{s=0}^{j} \binom{j}{s} \frac{(-1)^s}{\xi + \alpha(j-2s)} = \frac{(-2\alpha)^j j!}{(\xi+\alpha j)(\xi+\alpha(j-2))\cdots(\xi-\alpha j)}.$$

Proof. The statement is true for $j = 0$.

Working inductively and recalling that $\binom{j}{s} = \binom{j-1}{s-1} + \binom{j-1}{s}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=0}^{j}\binom{j}{s}\frac{(-1)^s}{\xi+\alpha(j-2s)} &= \sum_{s=0}^{j-1}\binom{j-1}{s}\frac{(-1)^s}{\xi+\alpha(j-2s)} + \sum_{s=1}^{j}\binom{j-1}{s-1}\frac{(-1)^s}{\xi+\alpha(j-2s)}\\ &= \frac{(-2\alpha)^{j-1}(j-1)!}{(\xi+\alpha j)(\xi+\alpha(j-2))\cdots(\xi+\alpha(-j+2))}\\ &\quad - \frac{(-2\alpha)^{j-1}(j-1)!}{(\xi+\alpha(j-2))(\xi+\alpha(j-4))\cdots(\xi-\alpha j)}\\ &= \frac{(-2\alpha)^{j-1}(j-1)!}{(\xi+\alpha(j-2))\cdots(\xi+\alpha(-j+2))}\left(\frac{1}{\xi+\alpha j} - \frac{1}{\xi-\alpha j}\right)\\ &= \frac{(-2\alpha)^{j}j!}{(\xi+\alpha j)(\xi+\alpha(j-2))\cdots(\xi-\alpha j)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3. Write

$$W_{Jk}(y) = C_{nJ}\Big(W_{Jk1} * W_{Jk2}\Big)(y/\alpha)$$

for $-\pi/2 < y < \pi/2 + 2\alpha\ln\beta$ where $f * g$ denotes the convolution of f and g and

$$\begin{aligned}W_{Jk1}(t) &= \cos^{|J|+n-2}(\alpha t)\chi_{\pi/2}(\alpha t),\\ W_{Jk2}(t) &= e^{t(k+1)}\chi_{\ln\beta}(t-\ln\beta).\end{aligned}$$

To calculate the Fourier transform of W_{Jk} we first calculate

$$\cos^j(t) = \frac{1}{2^j}\sum_{s=0}^{j}\binom{j}{s}e^{i(2s-j)t}.$$

One can calculate that

$$\mathcal{F}(\cos^j(t)\chi_{\pi/2}(t))(\xi) = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2\pi}2^{j-1}}\sum_{s=0}^{j}\binom{j}{s}\frac{\left(e^{\frac{i(\xi+j-2s)\pi}{2}} - e^{-\frac{i(\xi+j-2s)\pi}{2}}\right)}{2(\xi+j-2s)}.$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\cos^j(\alpha t)\chi_{\pi/2}(\alpha t))(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\alpha}\mathcal{F}(\cos^j(t)\chi_{\pi/2}(t))(\xi/\alpha)\\ &= \frac{i^{j-1}\left(e^{\frac{i\xi\pi}{2\alpha}} - (-1)^j e^{-\frac{i\xi\pi}{2\alpha}}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}2^j}\sum_{s=0}^{j}\binom{j}{s}\frac{(-1)^s}{\xi+\alpha(j-2s)}\\ &= \frac{(-\alpha i)^j j!\left(e^{\frac{i\xi\pi}{2\alpha}} - (-1)^j e^{-\frac{i\xi\pi}{2\alpha}}\right)}{i\sqrt{2\pi}(\xi+\alpha j)(\xi+\alpha(j-2))\cdots(\xi-\alpha j)}.\end{aligned}$$

We also need to find the Fourier transform of $e^{kt}\chi_a(t-a)$:

$$\mathcal{F}(e^{kt}\chi_a(t-a))(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{e^{2a(k-i\xi)}-1}{k-i\xi}.$$

Using $\mathcal{F}(f*g) = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{F}(f)\mathcal{F}(g)$ we find that the Fourier transform of W_{Jk} is given by (7). □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 follows immediately from Lemmas 3 and 4 below.

Lemma 2. *If P is continuous on $W^{p,s}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})$ then*

$$\left\| |r_\lambda|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m P_\lambda f \right\|_{L^p(D_\lambda)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{p,s}(D_\lambda)} \tag{9}$$

where m is a nonnegative integer, $0 \leq t < 1$ such that $m = s + t$ and the constant C is independent of λ and f.

Proof. Assume that P maps $W^{p,s}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})$ onto itself continuously and let $T_\lambda f = f \circ \tau_\lambda$. Then one can check that

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}\right)^Q T_\lambda f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} = 2^{p_1+q_1-2/p}\lambda^{2p_1+2q_1+|P'|+|Q'|-2n/p} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}\right)^Q f \right\|_{L^p(D_\lambda)}$$

where $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n), P' = (p_2, \ldots, p_{n-1}), Q' = (q_2, \ldots, q_{n-1}), |P'| = p_1 + \cdots + p_{n-1}$, and $|Q'| = q_1 + \cdots + q_{n-1}$. Therefore we have

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{W^{p,k}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \leq 2^{k-2/p}\lambda^{2k-2n/p}\|f\|_{W^{p,k}(D_\lambda)}.$$

By interpolation we also have $\|T_\lambda f\|_{W^{p,s}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \leq 2^{s-2/p}\lambda^{2s-2n/p}\|f\|_{W^{p,s}(D_\lambda)}$ for all $s > 0$.

Let $s = m - t$ where m is a nonnegative integer and $0 \leq t < 1$. We have

$$\left\| |r|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{W^{p,s}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \tag{10}$$

for f holomorphic on $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ (see, for example, [Lig87]).

Let P_λ be the Bergman projection for D_λ. Then $P_\lambda = T_\lambda^{-1} P T_\lambda$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| |r_\lambda|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m P_\lambda f \right\|_{L^p(D_\lambda)} &= \left\| |r_\lambda|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m T_\lambda^{-1} P T_\lambda f \right\|_{L^p(D_\lambda)} \\
&= 2^{2/p-m}\lambda^{2t+2n/p-2m} \left\| |r|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m P T_\lambda f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \\
&\leq C_2\lambda^{2t+2n/p-2m} \|P T_\lambda f\|_{W^{p,s}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \\
&\leq C_3\lambda^{2n/p-2s} \|T_\lambda f\|_{W^{p,s}(\Omega_{\alpha\beta})} \\
&\leq C_4 \|f\|_{W^{p,s}(D_\lambda)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the constants are independent of λ. □

Lemma 3. *If the estimate* (9) *holds on* D_λ *then*

$$\left\| |r_\infty|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m P_\infty f \right\|_{L^p(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{p,s}(D)}$$

where P_∞ *is the Bergman projection on* D *and the constant* C *is independent of* f.

The above lemma can be proved like Lemma 1 in [Bar92].

Lemma 4. *Let* $s \geq \nu_{\alpha\beta} + n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$ *where* $\nu_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha \ln \beta}$ *and* $s = m - t$ *as above. Then there exists* $f \in C_0^\infty(D)$ *such that* $|r_\infty|^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^m P_\infty f$ *is not in* $L^p(D)$.

Proof. Since P_{Jk} maps $W^{p,\delta}(D) \cap A^p(D)$ onto $W^{p,\delta}(D) \cap A^p_{Jk}(D)$ for all $\delta \geq 0$ it is sufficient to prove that there exists $f \in C_0^\infty(D)$ such that $P_{Jk} P_\infty f \notin W^{p,s}(D)$. Fix $w \in D$, $J = 0$, and $k = -2$. Let f be a nonnegative smooth function with compact support in D such that it depends on $|z - w|$ and $\int_D f = 1$. Then $K_{0,-2}(\cdot, w) = P_{0,-2} P_\infty f$. We can write $s = m - t$ where m is a nonnegative integer and $0 \leq t < 1$. In view of (10) above (adapted to D) it suffices to show that $|r_\infty(z)|^t \frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_1^m} K_{0,-2}(z, w) \notin L^p(D)$ for fixed w. Proposition 4 implies that

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_1^m} K_{0,-2}(z, w) = C z_1^{\nu_{\alpha\beta} - n/2 - i\mu_\alpha - m} + O\left(z_1^{\nu_{\alpha\beta} - n/2 + \varepsilon - m}\right).$$

Let

$$D' = \left\{ (z_1, z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re}\left(z_1 e^{-2\alpha i \ln |z_n|}\right) > |z'|^2, 1 + \delta < |z_n| < \beta - \delta, \right.$$
$$\left. |z_1| < \delta, \left|\theta_1 - 2\alpha \ln |z_n|\right| < \frac{\pi}{4} \right\}$$

for suitably small $\delta > 0$. Then $|r_\infty|$ is comparable to $|z_1|$ on D' and

$$\int_D |r_\infty(z)|^{pt} \left|\frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_1^m} K_{0,-2}(z, w)\right|^p dV(z) \geq \int_{D'} |r_\infty(z)|^{pt} \left|\frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_1^m} K_{0,-2}(z, w)\right|^p dV(z)$$
$$\geq c \int_0^\delta r_1^{p\nu_{\alpha\beta} + pt - pm + n - 1 - pn/2} dr_1$$

where c is a positive constant. The last integral above is divergent if $s \geq \nu_{\alpha\beta} + n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Therefore

$$|r_\infty(z)|^t \frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_1^m} P_{0,-2} P_\infty f = |r_\infty(z)|^t \frac{\partial^m}{\partial z_1^m} K_{0,-2}(z, w) \notin L^p(D)$$

for $s \geq \nu_{\alpha\beta} + n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$. □

5. Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referee for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this manuscript.

References

[Bar92] David E. Barrett, *Behavior of the Bergman projection on the Diederich-Fornæss worm*, Acta Math. **168** (1992), no. 1-2, 1–10.

[BS90] Harold P. Boas and Emil J. Straube, *Equivalence of regularity for the Bergman projection and the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator*, Manuscripta Math. **67** (1990), no. 1, 25–33.

[BS92] ———, *The Bergman projection on Hartogs domains in $\mathbf{C}^2$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), no. 2, 529–540.

[BS99] ———, *Global regularity of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem: a survey of the L^2-Sobolev theory*, Several complex variables (Berkeley, CA, 1995–1996), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 37, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 79–111.

[Chr96] Michael Christ, *Global C^∞ irregularity of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem for worm domains*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 4, 1171–1185.

[CS01] So-Chin Chen and Mei-Chi Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[DF77] Klas Diederich and John Erik Fornaess, *Pseudoconvex domains: an example with nontrivial Nebenhülle*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), no. 3, 275–292.

[Kis91] Christer O. Kiselman, *A study of the Bergman projection in certain Hartogs domains*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 3 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 219–231.

[KN65] J. J. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *Non-coercive boundary value problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 443–492.

[KP08a] Steven G. Krantz and Marco M. Peloso, *Analysis and geometry on worm domains*, J. Geom. Anal. **18** (2008), no. 2, 478–510.

[KP08b] ———, *The Bergman kernel and projection on non-smooth worm domains*, Houston J. Math. **34** (2008), no. 3, 873–950.

[Lig87] Ewa Ligocka, *Estimates in Sobolev norms $\|\cdot\|_p^s$ for harmonic and holomorphic functions and interpolation between Sobolev and Hölder spaces of harmonic functions*, Studia Math. **86** (1987), no. 3, 255–271.

[ŞS06] Sönmez Şahutoğlu and Emil J. Straube, *Analytic discs, plurisubharmonic hulls, and non-compactness of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator*, Math. Ann. **334** (2006), no. 4, 809–820.

[Str10] Emil J. Straube, *Lectures on the $\mathcal{L}^2$-Sobolev theory of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, vol. 7, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2010.

(David Barrett) University of Michigan, Department of Mathematics, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
E-mail address: barrett@umich.edu

(Sönmez Şahutoğlu) University of Toledo, Department of Mathematics, Toledo, OH 43606, USA
E-mail address: sonmez.sahutoglu@utoledo.edu